



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,309	04/23/2001	Fumiaki Ito	35.C15311	2780
5514	7590	05/18/2006	EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EDOUARD, PATRICK NESTOR	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			ART UNIT	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			PAPER NUMBER	
			2626	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to communication filed 03/03/2006. Claims 1, and 3-15 are pending. Claim 2 is canceled.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, and 3-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1 and 3-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ladd et al. (6,269,336 filed 10/2/1998) in view of Chung et al (6,115,686).

The table below summarizes the limitations of these claims and teachings in Ladd et al. that meet these limitations.

Claim #	Limitations	Ladd et al.
1	A document processing apparatus comprising: <u>document obtaining means</u> for obtaining a document written in a predetermined <u>markup language</u> from a <u>designated source</u> rule identification information extraction means .. the obtaining means	<u>The network access apparatus</u> of the system allows the user to access (i.e., view and/or hear) the information retrieved from the <u>information source</u> . (Col. 3, lines 40-42). The information can be stored in a database of the information source and

	<p><u>rule selecting means</u> for selecting <u>a rule defining voice input/output contents</u> from a plurality of predetermined rules</p> <p><u>document analyzing means</u> for analyzing a designated range of the document obtained by said document obtaining means based on the rule selected by said rule selecting means to <u>fetch</u> voice output contents, voice input candidates, and designation information for <u>designating a next processing object</u> corresponding to each <u>voice input candidate</u> f</p>	<p>can include text content, <u>markup language</u> document or pages (Col 11, lines 42-45). The nested HTML tags</p> <p>The <u>parser unit</u> receives the information from the network fetcher unit and parses the information according to the syntax rules of the <u>markup language</u>. (Column 12, lines 18-20) The markup language can include elements that describe the <u>structure of a document or page</u>, provide <u>pronunciation of words and phrases</u>, and place markers in the text to <u>control interactive voice services</u>. The markup language also provides elements that control phrasing, emphasis, pitch, speaking rate, and other characteristics. (Column 16, 12-18 and FIG. 6) As seen from FIG. 6, the <DIALOGUE> section contains both input candidates and output contents, which may also include instructions to fetch additional elements via SQL calls. (Col. 41, lines 45-50)</p> <p>The interpreter unit determines the <u>next state or step</u> based upon the structure of the dialog and the <u>inputs from the user</u>. When the interpreter unit transitions to a new dialog or page, the address of the new dialog or page is then sent to the <u>network fetcher</u>. (Column 13, lines 55-59)</p>
--	---	--

<p>voice outputting means for <u>voice-outputting the voice output contents</u> fetched by said document analyzing means</p> <p>voice recognizing means for <u>voice-recognizing the voice input by the user</u></p> <p>controlling means for <u>checking the result of recognition</u> by said voice recognizing means against the input candidates fetched by said document analyzing means to <u>control obtainment of a new document</u> by said document obtaining means or <u>next analysis</u> by said document analyzing means based on designation information corresponding to the input candidate matching the recognition result.</p>	<p>The TTS unit of the VRU server receives textual data or information... The TTS unit processes the textual data and <u>converts the data to voice data or information</u>. (Column 9, lines 3-10)</p> <p>The ASR unit of the VRU server provides speaker independent <u>automatic speech recognition of speech inputs</u> or communications from the <u>user</u>. (Column 9, lines 27-30)</p> <p>The <u>interpreter unit</u> can transition from state to state (i.e., step to step) within a tree structure (i.e., a dialog) of a markup language document or can transition to a new tree structure within the same dialog or another dialog. The interpreter unit <u>determines the next state or step</u> based upon the structure of the dialog and the <u>inputs from the user</u>. When the interpreter unit transitions <u>to a new dialog or page</u>, the address of the new dialog or page is then sent to the network fetcher. (Column 13, lines 52-59)</p>
---	--

It is noted that Ladd teaches the claimed invention but does not explicitly teach a rule selecting means for selecting a rule corresponding to the extracted rule identification information ...identification information stored in a memory...in the obtained document. However, this feature is well known in the art as evidenced by Chung et al who teach in figures 1-5b, the HTML parser 24 outputs the HTS control rules to the HTS control parser 22 wherein the HTS control parser can receive four different types of rules (intonation, audio data rule, enunciation rule and terminology translation rule). Furthermore, the HTS

Art Unit: 2626

control rules 110-180 embedded in the HTML comment tag wherein the rules (110-180) are designated by identifiers. (col. 6, lines 9-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate into Ladd the rule selection means as taught by Chung et al because it would provide a system that can accommodate HTML documents with nested HTML textual tags and enunciate symbols correctly depending on context.

As per claim 4, Chung et al teach rule selecting means selects a predetermined rule if said rule identification information extraction means cannot ...in the obtained document 9 his HTS parser 22, his tag mapping table 41, his parameter 42 and his audio table 43).

Ladd et al further teach:

3	The document processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said <u>rule identification information</u> is a predetermined attribute value of a <u>predetermined tag</u> .	<u>markup language document</u> includes <u>tags</u> (Column 16, line 29-31)
4	The document processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said rule selecting means selects a predetermined rule if the rule identification information is not described in the obtained document.	If a pre-existing grammar is not found at block, the voice browser dynamically generates the grammar for the user input. The voice browser looks up the pronunciations for the user in a dictionary. (Column 14, lines 29-33)
5	The document processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said document analyzing means fetches as said designation information a source from which a next document is obtained.	When the interpreter unit transitions to a new dialog or page, the address of the new dialog or page is then sent to the <u>network fetcher</u> . (Column 13, lines 55-59) <u>The network fetcher unit retrieves information, including markup language documents, audio samples and grammars from the information sources.</u> (Column 12, lines 10-14)
6	The document processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said document analyzing means	The network fetcher unit retrieves information, <u>including markup language</u>

Art Unit: 2626

	fetches an <u>analyzed range of a next document</u> as said designation information.	<u>documents</u> (Column 12, lines 10-14). Since network fetcher can retrieve full documents, it can inherently retrieve multiple documents specified in the analyzed range of a next document.
7	The document processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said rule selecting means <u>selects a rule</u> based on <u>instructions from a user</u> .	The communication node can also <u>allow the user to select a particular speech recognition model</u> . (Column 6, lines 25-36) or choose models based on <PROFILE> tag information (Col. 24, lines 12-65)
9	The document processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said <u>plurality of rules</u> includes a rule which defines a predetermined attribute value of a predetermined tag as <u>voice output contents</u> , and contents surrounded by predetermined second tags as <u>input candidates</u> , in said document.	The PROMPT element of the <u>markup language</u> is used to define content (i.e., text or an audio file) that is to be <u>presented to the user</u> . (Column 18, line 32-36). The INPUT element of the markup language is used to define a <u>valid user input</u> within each STEP element. (Column 18, line 56-58)
10	The document processing apparatus according to claim 9, wherein in said rule, if said recognition result matches an input candidate, contents ranging from the contents surrounded by said second predetermined tags which correspond to the input candidate up to a third predetermined tag are defined as <u>next voice output contents</u> , and an anchor in the voice output contents is defined as a <u>next input candidate</u> .	See example (Column 16, line 63 – Column 17, line 15). The page consists of one rule (DIALOG) encompassing PROMPT elements that define <u>voice output contents</u> and INPUT elements that define <u>input candidates</u> . The nature of the markup language is such that these elements can be arranged in a variety of configurations that limit claim 11.
11	The document processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said plurality of rules includes a rule which defines contents ranging from the head of said document to a predetermined tag as <u>voice output contents</u> , and an anchor in the voice output contents as an <u>input candidate</u> .	See example (Column 16, line 63 – Column 17, line 15). The page consists of one rule (DIALOG) encompassing PROMPT elements that define <u>voice output contents</u> and INPUT elements that define <u>input candidates</u> . The nature of the markup

		language is such that these elements can be arranged in a variety of configurations that limit claim 11.
12	The document processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said voice input and voice output are performed through a <u>telephone line</u> .	The telecommunication network is preferably connected to the communication node via a high-speed data link, such as, a T1 <u>telephone line</u> . (Column 5, lines 39-42)
13	<p>A document processing method comprising:</p> <p>a document obtaining step of <u>obtaining a document</u> written in a predetermined <u>markup language</u> from a designated <u>source</u> from which the document is to be obtained</p> <p>a rule selecting step of <u>selecting a rule</u> defining voice input/output contents from a plurality of predetermined rules</p> <p>a document analyzing step of <u>analyzing a designated range of the document</u> obtained in said document obtaining step based on the rule selected in said rule selecting step to <u>fetch</u> voice output contents, voice input candidates, and designation information for designating a next processing object corresponding to each voice input candidate</p>	<p>The network access apparatus of the system allows the user to <u>access (i.e., view and/or hear)</u> the information retrieved from the <u>information source</u>. (Col. 3, lines 40-42). The information can be stored in a database of the information source and can include text content, <u>markup language</u> document or pages (Col 11, lines 42-45)</p> <p>The parser unit receives the information from the network fetcher unit and <u>parses the information</u> according to the syntax rules of the markup language. (Column 12, lines 18-20) See definition of markup language at Column 16, 12-18.</p> <p>The interpreter unit carries out a dialog with the user based upon the <u>tree structure representing a markup language document</u>. (Column 13, lines 45-47)</p> <p>When the interpreter unit transitions to a new dialog or page, the address of the new dialog or page is then sent to the <u>network fetcher</u>. (Column 13, lines 55-59)</p>

	<p>a voice outputting step of <u>voice-outputting</u> the voice output contents fetched in said document analyzing step</p> <p>a voice recognizing step of <u>voice-recognizing</u> the voice input from the user</p> <p>and a controlling step of checking the result of recognition by said voice recognizing step against the <u>input</u> candidates fetched in said document analyzing step to <u>control obtainment of a new document</u> by said document obtaining step or <u>next analysis</u> by said document analyzing step based on designation information corresponding to the <u>input</u> candidate matching the recognition result.</p>	<p>The TTS unit of the VRU server receives textual data or information... The TTS unit processes the textual data and <u>converts the data to voice data or information</u>. (Column 9, lines 3-10)</p> <p>The ASR unit of the VRU server <u>provides</u> speaker independent <u>automatic speech recognition of speech inputs</u> or communications from the <u>user</u>. (Column 9, lines 27-30)</p> <p>The interpreter unit can transition from state to state (i.e., step to step) within a tree structure (i.e., a dialog) of a markup language document or can transition to a new tree structure within the same dialog or another dialog. The interpreter unit <u>determines the next state or step</u> based upon the <u>structure of the dialog and the inputs from the user</u>. When the interpreter unit transitions <u>to a new dialog or page</u>, the address of the new dialog or page is then sent to the network fetcher. (Column 13, lines 52-59).</p>

5. Claims 13-15 are the same in scope and content as claim 1 above and therefore are rejected under the same rationale.

The combination of Ladd with Chung does not explicitly teach assigning priorities to rules and choosing rules based on their respective priorities.

However, the examiner takes the official notice that it is well-known in the art of speech recognition to assign priorities to speech models (which are part of the rules specified by the XML document in Ladd et al.'s invention) in speech recognition systems in order to make the selection process of required speech models more flexible to the user's requirements.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Ladd et al. with Chung to assign priorities and choose rules based on assigned priorities because this would enable the system to be more flexible to the user's requirements and choose a rule that would best fit the situation.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick N. Edouard whose telephone number is 7033086725. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 571 272 7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PNE

PE
Primary Examiner